arXiv:1703.03720v1 [math.FA] 9 Mar 2017

NEW PROOFS OF THE OPERATOR MONOTONY OF THE SQUARE ROOT AND THE INVERSE

MOHAMMED HICHEM MORTAD

Abstract. Let $A, B \in B(H)$. We present among others a simple proof of the widely known result stating that if $0 \leq A \leq B$, then $\sqrt{A} \leq \sqrt{B}$. The same idea is used to prove that if $0 \leq A \leq B$ and A is invertible, then B too is invertible and $B^{-1} \leq A^{-1}$.

1. Notations

Throughout this paper, H designates a complex Hilbert space. We say that $A \in B(H)$ is positive, and we write $A \geq 0$, if $< Ax, x > \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$ (this implies that A is self-adjoint). If $A, B \in B(H)$ are self-adjoint, then we write $A \leq B$ if $B - A \geq 0$. If $A \leq B$, then $AC \leq BC$ for any positive $C \in B(H)$ which commutes with A and with B.

Recall also that a $K \in B(H)$ is called a contraction if $\|K\| \leq 1$. This is known (cf. [1]) to be equivalent to *any* of the following:

- $||Kx|| \leq ||x||$ for all $x \in H$;
- $KK^* \leq I$;
- $K^*K \leq I$.

An important result of monotony in $B(H)$ is the so-called **Löwner-Heinz Inequality**: *If $A \geq B \geq 0$, then $A^\alpha \geq B^\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.*

In particular, *if $0 \leq A \leq B$, then $\sqrt{A} \leq \sqrt{B}$.* Another equally important result is: *If $0 \leq A \leq B$ and if A is invertible, then B is invertible and $B^{-1} \leq A^{-1}$.*

In this short paper, we mainly present new proofs of these two well known results.

2. Main Results

The key point for proving the results are the following standard lemmata:

Lemma 2.1. *(see e.g. [1]) Let H be a complex Hilbert space. If $A, B \in B(H)$, then*

$$\forall x \in H : \ \|Ax\| \leq \|Bx\| \Longleftrightarrow \exists K \in B(H) \text{ contraction} : \ A = KB.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A63, Secondary 47A05.
Key words and phrases. Positive Operators. Square Root of an Operator. Operator Monotony.

Remark. The foregoing lemma is usually utilized to characterize hyponormal operators.

Lemma 2.2. *(**Generalized Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality**) Let H be a complex Hilbert space. If $A \in B(H)$ is **positive**, then*

$$| < Ax, y > |^2 \leq < Ax, x >< Ay, y >$$

for all $x, y \in H$.

Theorem 2.3. *([5]) Let $A, B \in B(H)$ such that A is positive and $AB = B^*A$. Then there exists a unique self-adjoint operator $S \in B(H)$ such that*

$$\sqrt{A}B = S\sqrt{A}.$$

A glance at the proof of the previous theorem allows us to give the following:

Lemma 2.4. *Let $A, B \in B(H)$ such that A is positive and $AB = B^*A$. If B is a contraction, then there exists a unique self-adjoint **contraction** $S \in B(H)$ such that*

$$\sqrt{A}B = S\sqrt{A}.$$

Remark. It is known that if $A \in B(H)$ is positive and $K \in B(H)$ is a contraction, then (unless $KA = AK$) in general:

$$KAK^* \not\leq A.$$

For example, let S be the usual shift operator on ℓ^2 and set $A = SS^*$. Then A is positive and obeys $\|A\| \leq 1$. If we choose $K = S^*$, then K is clearly a contraction. If $KAK^* \leq A$ held, then we would obtain

$$S^*SS^*S = I \leq SS^*,$$

which is absurd! Indeed, as we already know that $SS^* \leq I$, then we would end up with $SS^* = I$!

As a consequence of the previous lemma, we have

Proposition 2.5. *Let $A \in B(H)$ be positive and let $K \in B(H)$ be a contraction. If $AK^* = KA$, then*

$$K^2A = A(K^*)^2 = KAK^* \leq A.$$

Proof. First, observe that

$$AK^* = KA \Longrightarrow A(K^*)^2 = KAK^* = K^2A \Longrightarrow \sqrt{A}(K^*)^2 = V\sqrt{A}$$

for some (self-adjoint) contraction $V \in B(H)$.

Now, let $x \in H$. Then

$$< KAK^*x, x >=< A(K^*)^2x, x >\leq < Ax, x >^{\frac{1}{2}} < A(K^*)^2x, (K^*)^2x >^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.2 in the last inequality. But,

$$< A(K^*)^2x, (K^*)^2x >^{\frac{1}{2}} =< \sqrt{A}(K^*)^2x, \sqrt{A}(K^*)^2x >^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|\sqrt{A}(K^*)^2x\| = \|VAx\|.$$

Since V is a contraction, $\|VAx\| \leq \|Ax\|$ for each x. Finally, in view of $\|Ax\| =< \sqrt{A}x, x >^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we obtain:

$$< KAK^*x, x > \leq < Ax, x >,$$

and this completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.6. *(cf. [4]) Let $A, B \in B(H)$. If $0 \leq A \leq B$, then $\sqrt{A} \leq \sqrt{B}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in H$. Since $A \leq B$, we easily see that:

$$\|\sqrt{A}x\|^2 \leq \|\sqrt{B}x\|^2.$$

So, by Lemma 2.1, we know that $\sqrt{A} = K\sqrt{B}$ for some contraction $K \in B(H)$. Since $\sqrt{A}$ is self-adjoint, it follows that $K\sqrt{B}$ too is self-adjoint, that is, $K\sqrt{B} = \sqrt{B}K^*$.

Hence, by the generalized Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$< \sqrt{A}x, x >^2 =< K\sqrt{B}x, x >^2 =< \sqrt{B}x, K^*x >^2 \leq < \sqrt{B}x, x >< \sqrt{B}K^*x, K^*x > .$$

By Proposition 2.5, we have

$$< \sqrt{B}K^*x, K^*x > =< K\sqrt{B}K^*x, x > \leq < \sqrt{B}x, x > .$$

Accordingly,

$$| < \sqrt{A}x, x > |^2 \leq | < \sqrt{B}x, x > |^2 \text{ or } < \sqrt{A}x, x > \leq < \sqrt{B}x, x >,$$

as required. □

Remark. Notice that Proposition 2.5 can also be established if we use the preceding theorem.

For the new proof of the next result, we only need Lemma 2.1. The proof is very simple and short.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $A, B \in B(H)$. If $0 \leq A \leq B$ and if A is invertible, then B is invertible and $B^{-1} \leq A^{-1}$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.6 combined with Lemma 2.1, we know that $\sqrt{A} = K\sqrt{B}$ for some contraction $K \in B(H)$. Since $\sqrt{A}$ is invertible (as A is), it follows that $I = (\sqrt{A})^{-1}K\sqrt{B}$, i.e. the self-adjoint $\sqrt{B}$ is left invertible and so $\sqrt{B}$ or simply B is invertible (cf. [3]) and

$$(\sqrt{B})^{-1} = (\sqrt{A})^{-1}K = K^*(\sqrt{A})^{-1}$$

by the self-adjointness of both $(\sqrt{B})^{-1}$ and $(\sqrt{A})^{-1}$.

Let $x \in H$. Then (since K^* too is a contraction)

$$< B^{-1}x, x > = \|(\sqrt{B})^{-1}x\|^2 = \|K^*(\sqrt{A})^{-1}x\|^2 \leq \|(\sqrt{A})^{-1}x\|^2 =< A^{-1}x, x >,$$

as needed. □

As far as I am aware, Theorem 2.6 has not a very obvious proof in the literature at an elementary level even when A commutes with B (cf. [2]). The following improvement of Lemma 2.1 (kindly communicated to me by Professor J. Stochel) makes the proof in case of commutativity very simple.

Lemma 2.8. *Let H be a complex Hilbert space. If $A, B \in B(H)$ are self-adjoint and $BA \geq 0$, then*

$$\forall x \in H: \ \|Ax\| \leq \|Bx\| \Longleftrightarrow \exists K \in B(H) \ \textbf{\textit{positive}} \ \textit{contraction}: \ A = KB.$$

Proof.

(1) "$\Leftarrow$": Let $x \in H$. Then

$$0 \leq < KBx, Bx > = < Ax, Bx > = < BAx, x >,$$

that is, $BA \geq 0$.

(2) "$\Rightarrow$": Since $BA \geq 0$, it follows that BA is self-adjoint, i.e. $AB = BA$. As a consequence, $\ker A$ reduces A and B, and the restriction of A to $\ker A$ is the zero operator on $\ker A$. Hence, we can assume that A is injective. Therefore, because $\ker B \subset \ker A = \{0\}$, we see that B^{-1} is self-adjoint and densely defined. Set $K_0 = AB^{-1}$. Then K_0 is densely defined and

$$||K_0(Bx)|| = ||AB^{-1}Bx|| = ||Ax|| \leq ||Bx||, \forall x \in H,$$

signifying that K_0 is a contraction with a unique contractive extension K to the whole H. Since

$$< K_0(Bx), Bx > = < Ax, Bx > = < BAx, x > \geq 0$$

for all $x \in H$, we see that K is positive as well. Clearly $KBx = K_0(Bx) = Ax$ for all $x \in H$, which completes the proof. □

Corollary 2.9. *Let $A, B \in B(H)$ be positive and commuting. Then:*

(1) $0 \leq A \leq B \Rightarrow \sqrt{A} \leq \sqrt{B}$.

(2) $0 \leq A \leq B \Rightarrow B^{-1} \leq A^{-1}$, *whenever A is invertible.*

Proof. Since A and B are positive and commuting, we obviously know that $AB \geq 0$. Mimicking the argument at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 2.6 combined with Lemma 2.8 give: $\sqrt{A} = K\sqrt{B}$ for some *positive* contraction K. As above, it follows that $K\sqrt{B} = \sqrt{B}K$.

(1) We clearly have:

$$0 \leq K \leq I \Longrightarrow \sqrt{A} = K\sqrt{B} \leq \sqrt{B},$$

and this proves the first statement.

(2) Also, $A = \sqrt{B}K\sqrt{B}K = BK^2$. Since A is invertible, $BK^2A^{-1} = I$, i.e. the self-adjoint B is right invertible and so B is invertible (cf. [3]) and $B^{-1} = K^2A^{-1}$. Therefore, as $K^2A^{-1} = A^{-1}K^2$, then

$$0 \leq K^2 \leq I \Longrightarrow B^{-1} = A^{-1}K^2 \leq A^{-1},$$

as required.

□

Corollary 2.10. *Let $A, B \in B(H)$ be positive and commuting. Then*

$$0 \leq A \leq B \Longrightarrow A^2 \leq B^2.$$

Proof. Since $AB \geq 0$, we know by Lemma 2.8 that $\sqrt{A} = K\sqrt{B}$ for some positive contraction $K \in B(H)$ and $K\sqrt{B} = \sqrt{B}K$. Hence

$$A = K\sqrt{B}K\sqrt{B} = K^2B.$$

So for all $x \in H$:

$$\|Ax\|^2 = \|K^2Bx\|^2 \leq \|Bx\|^2$$

or merely

$$< A^2x, x >=< Ax, Ax >= \|Ax\|^2 \leq \|Bx\|^2 =< B^2x, x >,$$

as required. □

References

[1] S. K. Berberian, Introduction to Hilbert space, Reprinting of the 1961 original. With an addendum to the original. *Chelsea Publishing Co.*, New York, 1976.

[2] C. Costara, D. Popa, Exercises in Functional Analysis, Kluwer Texts in the Mathematical Sciences, **26**, *Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht,* 2003.

[3] S. Dehimi, M. H. Mortad, *Right (or left) invertibility of bounded and unbounded operators and applications to the spectrum of products*, (submitted). https://arxiv.org/pdf/1505.02719v1.pdf.

[4] R. V. Kadison, J. R. Ringrose, Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. Vol. I. Elementary theory. Reprint of the 1983 original. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **15**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.

[5] Z. Sebestyén, *Positivity of operator products*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **66/1-2** (2000), 287-294.

Department of Mathematics, University of Oran 1, Ahmed Ben Bella, B.P. 1524, El Menouar, Oran 31000, Algeria.

Mailing address:

Pr Mohammed Hichem Mortad

BP 7085 Seddikia Oran

31013

Algeria

E-mail address: mhmortad@gmail.com, mortad@univ-oran.dz.